Phase Mixing of Partially Confined Alfvén Waves

Alex Prokopyszn, Alan Hood, Ineke De Moortel











Aims

- Study continuous, footpoint driven Alfvén waves in coronal-like plasma.
- Analyse wave leakage through the transition region.
- Show that an upper limit for the gradients is reached.
- Calculate and present this upper limit.

Background

- Many proposed mechanisms for coronal heating, e.g. turbulence, phase mixing, nanoflares etc..
- It is difficult to show which mechanisms are dominant (if any).



Background

- Can we quantify an upper bound for the heating provided by phase mixing?
- Estimate a parameter space where phase mixing is negligible.

• Upper bound is easier to find than an accurate value.



Source: TRACE, 171 Å, Characterstic temperature $\approx 6 \times 10^5 \text{K}$

Phase mixing

$$\nabla_{\perp} v_A \Rightarrow \uparrow \nabla_{\perp} u, \nabla_{\perp} b$$

This process = phase

 In ideal MHD phase mixing is only responsible for changes to ∇_⊥ not ∇_{||}.

• Ohmic heating rate of an Alfvén wave is given by $|\nabla b|^2/\sigma$.



Our model of a coronal loop



Structure

- Estimate wave reflection/transmission coefficient.
- Experiment 1:
 - Full reflection, sinusoidal driver.
- Experiment 2:
 - Partial reflection, sinusoidal driver.
- Experiment 3:
 - Partial reflection, broadband driver.
- Conclusions

The transition region is approximately a discontinuity in comparison to the wavelength of observed waves



• Plasma values given by VAL model of the solar atmosphere.

- Power spectrum from Morton et al. (2016).
- Used COMP
- Cadence $\approx 0.03 \text{ Hz}$

Estimating the energy reflection coefficient (R_E)

- Use LARE2D (but only 1 cell wide).
- Use density values based on VAL.
- Send in an Alfven pulse and measure how much energy reflects and transmits.



Calculating the energy reflection coefficient (R_E)



Energy reflection coefficient (R_E)

Grid size (Δs) (Mm)	R_E ($\lambda = 20$ Mm) ($P \approx 50$ s)	Grid size (Δs) (Mm)	$\begin{array}{c} R_E \\ (\lambda = 200 \text{ Mm}) \\ (P \approx 500 \text{ s}) \end{array}$
$\approx 5.9 \times 10^{-2}$	≈ 0.843	$\approx 4.6 \times 10^{-1}$	≈ 0.975
$\approx 2.9 \times 10^{-2}$	≈ 0.838	$\approx 2.3 \times 10^{-1}$	≈ 0.975
$\approx 1.5 \times 10^{-2}$	≈ 0.841	$\approx 1.1 \times 10^{-1}$	≈ 0.974
$\approx 0.7 \times 10^{-2}$	≈ 0.839	$\approx 0.6 \times 10^{-1}$	≈ 0.974

Analytic check of R_E

R_E of the configuration on the right is

$$R_E = \left(\frac{\sqrt{\rho_2} - \sqrt{\rho_1}}{\sqrt{\rho_1} + \sqrt{\rho_2}}\right)^2.$$

- For $\frac{\rho_2}{\rho_1} = 100$ this gives $R_E \approx 0.77.$
- This is less than R_E for numerical experiments as expected.
- For $\frac{\rho_2}{\rho_1} = 1000$ this gives $R_E \approx 0.88.$



Experiment 1/3

- Full reflection (R = 1).
- Sinusoidal driver.



Analytic solution

• Solve the wave equation.

$$\frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial t^2} = v_A^2 \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial s^2}$$

• Domain: $-l \le s \le l$

- Conditions:
 - Driver: $u(-l, t) = \sin(\omega t)$
 - Require a factor $R \leq 1$ to reflect at $s = \pm l$.
- Solve using d'Alembert's formula.

Analytic solution $u = \sum_{k=0}^{m} (-1)^{k} R^{k} H(\theta_{k}) \sin(\omega \theta_{k}),$

$$\theta_k = t - (-1)^k \frac{s}{v_A} - \frac{2k+1}{v_A} l,$$

$$m = \left\lfloor \frac{t v_A}{L} \right\rfloor \leftarrow \text{Floor function}$$

- Obtained using LARE2D.
- Linear driver imposed on the left boundary of the form:

$$u=10^{-4}\sin(\omega t).$$

• Solid boundary conditions otherwise.

Numeric vs Analytic Non-resonant driver



Solution for a resonant driver Amplitude, *u*, at loop apex

• The natural frequencies are given by,

$$\omega_n = n \frac{v_A}{L} \pi.$$

• If $\omega = \omega_n$, n = odd integer, then the solution is $u \approx \pm t \frac{v_A}{L} \cos(\omega t)$.



Solution near resonance Amplitude, u, at loop apex Nearly resonant, $\omega = 0.9 \omega_1$ 10 Full solution Approximate 5 0 • If $\omega \approx \omega_n$, n = odd integerthen the solution is, -5 _____ -10 20 30 50 10 40 Time $u \approx \sec\left(\frac{\omega l}{v_A}\right) \sin\left(\left(\frac{\omega - \omega_n}{2}\right)t\right) \cos\left(\left(\frac{\omega + \omega_n}{2}\right)t\right).$ Beating frequency

Solution away from resonance Amplitude, *u*, at loop apex



Experiment 2/3

- Parital reflection (R < 1).
- Sinusoidal driver.



Analytic solution

• Same as before, except now R < 1.

$$u = \sum_{k=0}^{m} (-1)^k R^k H(\theta_k) \sin(\omega \theta_k),$$

$$\theta_k = t - (-1)^k \frac{s}{v_A} - \frac{2k+1}{v_A} l$$

$$m = \left\lfloor \frac{t v_A}{L} \right\rfloor.$$

- Difficult to implement in LARE.
- We need an arbitrary amplitude to reflect.
- Decided it would be easier to make a linear upwind code.
- Stencil diagram:



- Upwind code solves for the Elsässer variables, $z^{\pm} = u \pm b$.
- Elsässer variables satisfy the advection equation, $\frac{\partial z^{\pm}}{\partial t} \mp v_A \frac{\partial z^{\pm}}{\partial s} = 0.$
- Hence, z^+ travels in the negative direction and z^- travels in the positive direction.

- To generate a driver, z⁻ is imposed on the left boundary.
- A factor $z^+ = Rz^-$ is generated at the right boundary and $z^- = Rz^+$ at the left boundary.
- This simulates partial reflection.

Numeric vs Analytic

Resonant driver



S

Why does the system reach a steady-state?

- Intuitive reason:
 - A wave losing energy through leakage is in some sense equivalent to a wave losing energy via diffusion.
 - Hence, a steady-state is reached for the same reason it does if the wave is damped.
- Mathematical reason:
 - The solution can be rewritten as a geometric series, which converges to a finite value for R < 1.</p>

Writing the solution as a geometric series

$$u = \sum_{k=0}^{m} (-1)^{k} R^{k} H(\theta_{k}) \sin(\omega \theta_{k}),$$

$$\theta_{k} = t - (-1)^{k} \frac{s}{v_{A}} - \frac{2k+1}{v_{A}} l,$$

$$m = \left\lfloor \frac{tv_{A}}{L} \right\rfloor,$$

let s = 0 and replace sin() with exp(i),

$$u = e^{i\omega t - l/v_{A}} \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} (-1)^{k} \left(R \cdot e^{-\frac{2i\omega l}{v_{A}}} \right)^{k} + (-1)^{m} R^{m} H(\theta_{m}) e^{i\omega \theta_{m}},$$

let t $\rightarrow \infty,$

$$let t \rightarrow \infty,$$

$$u(0, t) = \frac{e^{i\omega t}}{1 + Re^{-2i\omega l/v_{A}}}.$$

Steady-state amplitude at the loop apex

- Amplitude(u) = $\frac{1}{\sqrt{1+2R\cos\left(4\frac{\omega l}{v_A}\right)+R^2}}$, • Amplitude(b) = $\frac{1}{\sqrt{1-2R\cos\left(4\frac{\omega l}{v_A}\right)+R^2}}$,
- Convergence timescale: $R^m = \exp\left(\left\lfloor \frac{t v_A}{L} \right\rfloor \ln R\right) \Longrightarrow \tau \sim \frac{L}{v_A |\ln R|}$.



Does $\nabla_{\perp} u$ reach a steady-state?

- <u>Yes</u> (for R < 1).
- Same is true for $\nabla_{||} u$.
- Amplitude of discontinuity initially grows linearly then decays exponentially.

 $\nabla_{\perp} u att = 0.0$



Amplitude($\nabla_{\perp} u$) vs Amplitude($\nabla_{\parallel} u$)

- Key point: ∇u (and ∇b) tends towards a steady-state.
- Since the plasma is ideal, phase mixing is solely responsible for the build-up in ∇_⊥.
- If we can relate $\nabla_{\perp} u$ to $\nabla_{||} u$ e.g. $\nabla_{\perp} u = C \nabla_{||} u$
- Then we know phase mixing has enhanced the gradients by a factor *C*.

Amplitude($\nabla_{\perp} u$) vs Amplitude($\nabla_{\parallel} u$) At steady-state

$$\operatorname{Amp}\left(\nabla_{\perp} u\right) = \frac{l}{v_{A}} \nabla_{\perp} v_{A} \left| \frac{1 - R \exp\left(-i\frac{\omega L}{v_{A}}\right)}{1 + R \exp\left(-i\frac{\omega L}{v_{A}}\right)} \right|^{2} \operatorname{Amp}\left(\nabla_{\parallel} u\right).$$
$$\frac{1 - R}{1 + R} \leq \left| \frac{1 - R \exp\left(-i\frac{\omega L}{v_{A}}\right)}{1 + R \exp\left(-i\frac{\omega L}{v_{A}}\right)} \right| \leq \frac{1 + R}{1 - R}$$
$$\uparrow$$

Driven at even harmonic.

Driven at odd harmonic.

Amplitude($\nabla_{\perp} u$) vs Amplitude($\nabla_{\parallel} u$) At steady-state

$10^{-1}\operatorname{Amp}(\nabla_{||}u) \leq \operatorname{Amp}(\nabla_{\perp}u) \leq 10^{4} \operatorname{Amp}(\nabla_{||}u).$

- If:
 - *l* = 100 Mm,
 - $v_A = 400 \text{ km s}^{-1}$,
 - $\nabla_{\perp} v_A = 100 \text{ km s}^{-1} \text{ Mm}^{-1}$,
 - R = 9/10.
- Using a broadband driver could help reduce this range.

Experiment 3/3

- Parital reflection (R < 1).
- Broadband driver.



What is a broadband driver?

• A broadband driver is a driver which excites a range of frequencies.



Analytic solution

• We have a solution for a sinusoidal driver, at steady state:

$$u(0,t) = \operatorname{Amp}(\omega, R) \sin(\omega t).$$

• Our driver now has the form:

$$u_{driv}(t) = \sum_{n=1}^{N} f_n^{-\alpha} \sin(\omega_n t + \phi_n).$$

So the solution is:

$$u(0,t) = \sum_{n=1}^{N} f_n^{-\alpha} \operatorname{Amp}(\omega_n, R) \sin(\omega_n t + \phi_n).$$

Why no numerical solution?

- Not yet had time.
- We have checked the analytic formula for sinusoidal drivers.
- Linear problem ⇒ solution is a superposition of the sinusoidal driver experiments.

Formula check



The driver



• N = 1000.

Can take any value.

•
$$f_n = \frac{\omega_n}{2\pi} = n10^{-4} \text{ Hz}$$

• ϕ_n is a random phase with a uniform probability distribution over the interval $[0,2\pi]$.

The driver

- Each term is multiplied by a factor $f_n^{-\alpha}$.
- $\alpha = [0.1, 0.5, 0.9]$ depending on the experiment.
- Since $u \propto \sqrt{P}$ we can see that $0 < \alpha < 1$.
- Results are mainly insensitive to our choice of α.



Experiment 3 - summary

- Drive with a broadband driver, with a random phase.
- Repeat 1000 times and present the average.
- Use the analytic steady-state formulas.
- L = 200 Mm.
- $v_A = 1 \text{ Mm s}^{-1}$







$R = 0.9, \alpha = 0.5$ Average over 1000 experiments



- Higher frequencies have the highest power.
- Sinusoidal driver:

 $\nabla_{||} u \propto \omega$

• Broadband driver:

$$\begin{aligned} & u_{driv,n} \propto \omega_n^{-\alpha} \\ & \Rightarrow \nabla_{||} u \propto \omega_n^{1-\alpha} \end{aligned}$$

Values are \approx normally distributed Random experiment, $R = 0.9, \alpha = 0.5$



Standard deviation of $\nabla_{||} u$ vs. $\nabla_{\perp} u$

- STD = standard deviation.
- Table of the average $STD(\nabla_{\perp} u)/STD(\nabla_{\parallel} u)$:

	$\alpha = 0.1$	$\alpha = 0.5$	$\alpha = 0.9$	Analytic
R = 0.5	2.11	2.16	2.18	3
R = 0.9	14.0	13.7	13.8	19
R = 0.99	191	192	195	199
R = 0.999	1930	1950	1980	1999

• Proposed analytic formula (not proven):

$$\operatorname{STD}(\nabla_{\perp} u) \approx \frac{l}{v_A} \nabla_{\perp} v_A \frac{1+R}{1-R} \operatorname{STD}(\nabla_{\parallel} u)$$

Simplifications

- We have a formula relating $\nabla_{\perp} u$ and $\nabla_{\parallel} u$.
- Assumed ideal MHD, therefore, our relation can be seen as an upper bound for $\nabla_{\perp} u$.
- However, these simplifications were also made:
 - Linear waves.
 - Consider only strict Alfvén waves.
 - $\nabla_{||} v_A = 0^*$.
- Future work could look at at the effects of modifying these simplifications.

Application of $\nabla_{\perp} u$ and $\nabla_{\parallel} u$ relation X-point field

- Goal from previous work: Look at phase mixing due to variations in field line length.
- Formula could help deduce a parameter space where the phase mixing is negligible.

Partial reflection



Driver

Application of $\nabla_{\perp} u$ and $\nabla_{\parallel} u$ relation X-point field

 Wave equation for ideal Alfvén waves in a potential field:

$$\frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial t^2} = \frac{1}{\mu \rho_0} \left(\vec{B}_0 \cdot \nabla \right)^2 u.$$

• Convert to a field aligned coordinate system to get the 1D wave equation:

$$\frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial t^2} = v_{A0}^2 \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial s^2}$$

Partial reflection



Driver

Application of $\nabla_{\perp} u$ and $\nabla_{\parallel} u$ relation X-point field

 Calculated for a sinusoidal driver that:

$$\operatorname{Amp}(\nabla_{\perp} u) = \left| \frac{1 - R \exp\left(-\frac{2i\omega l}{v_A}\right)}{1 + R \exp\left(-\frac{2i\omega l}{v_A}\right)} \right|^2 \operatorname{Amp}(\nabla_{\parallel} u)$$

- Not confirmed numerically.
- Equation is not dependent on normalising constants. Partial

reflection



Driver

Summary

- We have derived a formula which links ∇_{\perp} and ∇_{\parallel} .
- In ideal MHD, phase mixing is only responsible for enhancing ∇_{\perp} .
- Therefore, it is hoped that our relation can be seen as an upper bound for the enhancement in heating due to phase mixing.

Future work

- Can we prove a formula for the relationship between $STD(\nabla_{\parallel}u)$ and $STD(\nabla_{\perp}u)$?
- Investigate the effects of switching to:
 - A nonlinear system.
 - 3D.
 - Other modes of oscillation.

Thank you for listening

Questions?